

ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Phyllis Moehlmann
<ADDRESS1>R.R.3 Box 1406
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Linton
<STATE>IN
<ZIP>47441
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>moe@airnwire.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Phyllis Moehlmann
<ADDRESS1>R.R.3 Box 1406
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Linton
<STATE>IN
<ZIP>47441
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>moe@airnwire.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Phyllis Moehlmann
<ADDRESS1>
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Linton
<STATE>IN
<ZIP>47441
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>moe@airnwire.com
<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge
you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how
contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am
concerned that this proposal could make my current service
unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make
few long distance calls would pay the same as people or
businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and
primarily residential customers would bear the same universal
service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business
customers. This is unfair!

I, along with most of my senior aged friends, use our wireless
phones for safety, security and convenience. We don't want to
lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than
their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the

USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Phyllis Moehlmann
R.R.3 Box 1406
Linton, Indiana 47441-9763